## IN THE DRAWINGS

Applicants submitted formal drawings, which were received by the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office on December 22, 2003.

Applicants respectfully request Examiner's review and acceptance of the formal drawings.

Law offices of Macpherson Kwok Chen & Heid Llp

2403 Michelson Drive SUITE 210 (rvinc, CA 92612 (949) 752-7040 FAX (919) 752-7049

-2- Serial No. 10/629,512

## REMARKS

Applicants have amended Claims 1, 10, 11, and 16 and canceled Claims 8, 9, 14, and 20 and therefore, upon entry of this amendment, Claims 1-7, 10-13, and 15-19 are pending.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and reexamination of the application.

Claims 2, 3, 9, 10, 14, and 20 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but Examiner indicated that the claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants have rewritten Claims 1, 11, and 16 to include the limitations of their respective base claims (Claims 8 and 9, 14, and 20, respectively), as suggested by Examiner. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1, 11, and 16 are in proper form for allowance. Furthermore, Claims 2-7, 10, 12, 13, and 15-19, which ultimately depend on corresponding Claims 1, 11, and 16 are also in proper form for allowance. Accordingly, the following remarks regarding distinctions over the prior art do not apply to the just-described claims.

LAW OFFICES OF MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP 2402 Michelson Drive SUITS 310 Invine, CA 92612 (949) 723-7440 FAX (949) 732-7419 Claims 1, 4, 6-8, 11-13, and 15-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. RE37,195 to Kean [herein referred to as "Kean"] and Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Kean

-8- Serial No. 10/629,512

in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,675,306 to Baxter [herein referred to as "Baxter"].

Applicants have amended Claims 1, 11, and 16 as suggested by Examiner and as discussed above. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1, 11, and 16 patentably distinguish over Kean and over Kean in view of Baxter and that corresponding dependent Claims 2-7, 10, 12, 13, 15, and 17-19 are also distinguishable for at least the same reasons. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) of Claims 1, 4, 6-8, 11-13, and 15-19 be withdrawn and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of Claim 5 be withdrawn.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1-7, 10-13, and 15-19 are in proper form for allowance. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested and a timely Notice of Allowance is solicited.

If there are any questions regarding any aspect of the application, please call the undersigned at (949) 752-7040.

LAW OFFICES OF MACPHERSON KWO CHEN & HEID LLP

2102 Michelson Drive SUITB 210 (prins, CA 92612 (949) 752-7040 FAX (949) 752-7049

Certificate of Transmission I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Commissioner for Patents, Fax No. 703-872-9306 on the date spated below.

Tina Kavanangh Jan

Respectfully submitted,

Greg J. Michelson

Attorney for Applicant(s) Req. No. 44,940

10/629,512 Serial No.

PAGE 12/12 \* RCVD AT 1/18/2005 6:07:16 PM [Eastern Standard Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/5 \* DNIS:8729306 \* CSID:9497527049 \* DURATION (mm-ss):03-12

January 18, 2005